

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

No. 17-10238

---

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA;  
FINANCIAL SERVICES INSTITUTE, INCORPORATED; FINANCIAL  
SERVICES ROUNDTABLE; GREATER IRVING-LAS COLINAS CHAMBER  
OF COMMERCE; HUMBLE AREA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, doing  
business as Lake Houston Chamber of Commerce; INSURED RETIREMENT  
INSTITUTE; LUBBOCK CHAMBER OF COMMERCE; SECURITIES  
INDUSTRY AND FINANCIAL MARKETS ASSOCIATION; TEXAS  
ASSOCIATION OF BUSINESS,

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR; R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA,  
SECRETARY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants - Appellees

-----

AMERICAN COUNCIL OF LIFE INSURERS; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION  
OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS; NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - TEXAS;  
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL  
ADVISORS - AMARILLO; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE  
AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - DALLAS; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF  
INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - FORT WORTH; NATIONAL  
ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND FINANCIAL ADVISORS - GREAT  
SOUTHWEST; NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF INSURANCE AND  
FINANCIAL ADVISORS - WICHITA FALLS;

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR; R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA,  
SECRETARY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants - Appellees

-----

INDEXED ANNUITY LEADERSHIP COUNCIL; LIFE INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF THE SOUTHWEST; AMERICAN EQUITY INVESTMENT  
LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY; MIDLAND NATIONAL LIFE INSURANCE  
COMPANY; NORTH AMERICAN COMPANY FOR LIFE AND HEALTH  
INSURANCE,

Plaintiffs - Appellants

v.

R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA, SECRETARY, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR;  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

Defendants - Appellees

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Northern District of Texas

\_\_\_\_\_

Before STEWART, Chief Judge, JONES, and CLEMENT, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:

IT IS ORDERED that the opposed motion of the States of California,  
New York, and Oregon, for leave to intervene is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the opposed motion of AARP, for leave  
to intervene is DENIED.